

**Support for the Compilation and Analysis of National Nutrient Data**

**15 Nutrient Ecoregion/Waterbody Type Summary Chapters**

**Prepared for:**

**Robert Cantilli  
Environmental Protection Agency  
OW/OST/HECD**

**Prepared by:**

**INDUS Corporation  
1953 Gallows Road  
Vienna, Virginia 22182**

**Contract Number: 68-C-99-226  
Task Number: 04  
Subtask Number: 4**

**August 8, 2000**

# CONTENTS

1.0	BACKGROUND	1
1.1	Purpose	1
1.2	References	1
2.0	QA/QC PROCEDURES	2
2.1	National Data Sets	3
2.2	State Data	3
2.3	Laboratory Methods	4
2.4	Waterbody Name	4
2.5	Ecoregion Data	5
3.0	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS REPORTS	5
3.1	Data Source Reports	6
3.2	Remark Code Reports	7
3.3	Median of Each Waterbody	7
3.4	Descriptive Statistic Reports	7
3.5	Regression Models	8
4.0	TIME PERIOD	8
5.0	DATA SOURCES AND PARAMETERS FOR THE AGGREGATE NUTRIENT ECOREGIONS	9
5.1	Lakes and Reservoirs	9
5.1.1	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 2	9
5.1.2	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 6	10
5.1.3	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 7	10
5.1.4	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 8	11
5.1.5	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 9	12
5.1.6	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 11	12
5.1.7	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 12	13
5.1.8	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 13	13
5.2	Rivers and Streams	14
5.2.1	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 2	14
5.2.2	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 3	15
5.2.3	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 6	16
5.2.4	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 7	16
5.2.5	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 9	17
5.2.6	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 11	18
5.2.7	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 12	19
5.2.8	Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 14	20

APPENDIX A	Process Used to QA/QA the Legacy STORET Nutrient Data Set
APPENDIX B	Process for Adding Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregions and Level III Ecoregions
APPENDIX C	Glossary

## 1.0 BACKGROUND

The Nutrient Criteria Program has initiated development of a national Nutrient Criteria Database application that will be used to store and analyze nutrient data. The ultimate use of these data will be to derive ecoregion- and waterbody-specific nutrient criteria ranges. EPA converted STOrage and RETrieval (STORET) legacy data, National Stream Quality Accounting Network (NASQAN) data, National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) data, and other relevant nutrient data from universities and States/Tribes into the database. The data imported into the Nutrient Criteria Database will be used to develop national nutrient criteria ranges.

### 1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this deliverable is to provide EPA with information regarding the data used to create the statistical reports which will be used to derive ecoregion- and waterbody-specific nutrient criteria ranges for Level III ecoregions. There are fourteen aggregate nutrient ecoregions. Each aggregate nutrient ecoregion is divided into smaller ecoregions referred to as Level III ecoregions. EPA will determine criteria ranges for the waterbody types and Level III ecoregions within the following aggregate nutrient ecoregions:

- Lakes and Reservoirs
  - Aggregate Nutrient ecoregions: 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13
- Rivers and Streams
  - Aggregate Nutrient ecoregions: 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14

### 1.2 References

This section lists documents that contain baselines, standards, guidelines, policies, and references that apply to the data analysis. Listed editions were valid at the time of publication. All documents are subject to revision, but these specific editions govern the concepts described in this document.

*Nutrient Criteria Technical Guidance Manual: Lakes and Reservoirs (Draft)*. EPA, Office of Water, EPA 822-D-99-001, April 1999.

*Nutrient Criteria Technical Guidance Manual: Rivers and Streams (Draft)*. EPA, Office of Water, EPA 822-D-99-003, September 1999.

*Guidance for Data Quality Assessment: Practical Methods for Data Analysis*. EPA, Office of Research and Development, EPA QA/G-9, January 1998.

## 2.0 QA/QC PROCEDURES

In order to develop nutrient criteria, EPA needed to obtain nutrient data from the states. EPA requested nutrient data from the states and forwarded the data sets to INDUS via e-mail and/or US mail. In addition, EPA tasked INDUS to convert data from three national data sets. EPA provided INDUS with a Legacy STORET extraction to convert into the database. The United States Geologic Survey (USGS) sent INDUS a CD-ROM with NASQAN data to convert. INDUS downloaded NAWQA files from the USGS Web site to convert the data. In total, INDUS converted and imported the following national and state data sets into the Nutrient Criteria Database:

- Legacy STORET
- NAWQA
- NASQAN
- Region 1
- Region 2 - Lake Champlain Monitoring Project
- Region 2 - NYSDEC Finger Lakes Monitoring Program
- Region 2 - NY Citizens Lake Assessment Program
- Region 2 - Lake Classification and Inventory Survey
- Region 2 - NYCDEP (1990-1998)
- Region 2 - NYCDEP (Storm Event data)
- Region 2 - New Jersey Nutrient Data ( Tidal Waters)
- Region 5
- Region 3
- Region 3 - Nitrite Data
- Region 3 - Choptank River files
- Region 4 - Tennessee Valley Authority
- Region 7 - Central Plains Center for BioAssessment (CPCB)
- Region 7 - REMAP
- Region 2 - Delaware River Basin Commission (1990-1998)
- Region 3 - PA Lake Data
- Region 3 - University of Delaware
- Region 10
- University of Auburn

As part of the conversion process, INDUS performed a number of Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) steps to ensure that the data was properly converted into the Nutrient Criteria Database. Section 2 explains the steps performed by INDUS to convert the data.

## 2.1 National Data Sets

INDUS converted three national data sets into the Nutrient Criteria Database: Legacy STORET data, NASQAN data, and NAWQA data. A previous EPA contractor performed the extraction of Legacy STORET data and documented the QA/QC procedures used on the data. This documentation is included in Appendix A. INDUS performed minimal QA/QC on the Legacy STORET data set because the previous contractor completed the steps outlined in Appendix A. INDUS and EPA also agreed to convert the NAWQA and NASQAN data sets with minimal QA/QC on the assumption that the source agency, the USGS, QA/QC'd the data.

For each of the three national data sets, INDUS ran queries to determine if 1) samples existed without results and 2) if stations existed without samples. Per Task Order Project Officer (TOPO) direction, these records were deleted from the system. For analysis purposes, EPA determined that there was no need to keep station records with no samples and sample records with no results. INDUS also confirmed that each data set contained no duplicate records.

In addition, INDUS deleted all composite results from the Legacy STORET data. Per TOPO direction, it was decided that composite sample results would not be used in the statistical analysis.

## 2.2 State Data

Each state data set was delivered in a unique format. Many of the data sets were delivered to INDUS without corresponding documentation. INDUS analyzed each state data set in order to determine which parameters should be converted for analysis. INDUS obtained a master parameter table from EPA and converted the parameters in the state data sets according to those that were present in the EPA parameter table. INDUS converted all of the data elements in the state data sets that mapped directly to the Nutrient Criteria Database; data elements that did not map to the Nutrient Criteria Database were not converted. In some cases, state data elements that did not directly map into the Oracle database were inserted into a comment field within the database. Also, INDUS maintained an internal record of which state data elements were inserted into the comment field.

As part of the data clean-up efforts, INDUS determined whether or not there were any duplicate records in the state data sets and deleted the duplicate records. INDUS checked the waterbody, station, and sample entities for duplicate records. In addition, INDUS deleted station records with no samples and sample records with no results. INDUS also deleted waterbody records that were not associated with a station. In each case, INDUS maintained an internal record of how many records were deleted.

If INDUS encountered referential integrity errors, such as samples that referred to stations that did not exist, or if INDUS was unsure of whether a record was a duplicate, INDUS contacted the agency directly via e-mail or phone to resolve any issues that arose. INDUS saved an electronic

copy of each e-mail correspondence with the states to ensure that a record of the decision was maintained. INDUS also contacted each agency to determine which laboratory methods were used for each parameter.

Finally, INDUS examined the remark codes of each result record in the state data sets. INDUS mapped the remark codes to the STORET remark codes listed in Table 2 of Appendix A. If any of the state result records were associated with remark codes marked as "Delete" in Table 2 of Appendix A, the result records were not converted into the database.

### **2.3 Laboratory Methods**

Many of the state data sets did not contain laboratory method information. In addition, laboratory method information was not available for the three national data sets. In order to determine missing laboratory method information, EPA tasked another contractor to contact the data owners to obtain the laboratory method. In some cases, the data owners responded and the laboratory methods were added to the database.

### **2.4 Waterbody Name and Class Information**

A large percentage of the data did not have waterbody-specific information. The only waterbody information contained in the three national data sets was the waterbody name, which was embedded in the station 'location description' field. Most of the state data sets contained waterbody name information; however, much of the data was duplicated throughout the data sets. Therefore, the waterbody information was cleaned manually. For the three national data sets, the 'location description' field was extracted from the station table and moved to a temporary table. The 'location description' field was sorted alphabetically. Unique waterbodies were grouped together based on name similarity and whether or not the waterbodies fell within the same county, state, and waterbody type. Finally, the 'location description' field was edited to include only waterbody name information, not descriptive information. For example, 110 MILE CREEK AT POMONA DAM OUTFLOW, KS PO-2 was edited to 110 MILE CREEK. Also, if 100 MILE CREEK was listed ten times in New York, but in four different counties, four 100 MILE CREEK waterbody records were created.

Similar steps were taken to eliminate duplicate waterbody records in the state data sets. If a number of records had similar waterbody names and fell within the same state, county, and waterbody type, the records were grouped to create a unique waterbody record.

Most of the waterbody data did not contain depth, surface area, and volume measurements. EPA needed this information to classify waterbody types. EPA attempted to obtain waterbody class

information from the states. EPA sent waterbody files to the regional coordinators and requested that certain class information be completed by each state. The state response was poor; therefore, EPA was not able to perform statistical analysis for the waterbody types by class.

## **2.5 Ecoregion Data**

Aggregate nutrient ecoregions and Level III ecoregions were added to the database using the station latitude and longitude coordinates. If a station was lacking latitude and longitude coordinates or county information, the data were not included in the statistical analysis. Appendix B lists the steps taken to add the two ecoregion types (aggregate and Level III) to the Nutrient Criteria Database. The ecoregion names were pulled from aggregate nutrient ecoregion and Level III ecoregion Geographical Information System (GIS) coverages. In summary, the station latitude and longitude coordinates were used to determine the ecoregion under the following circumstances:

- The latitude and longitude coordinates fell within the county/state listed in the station table.
- The county data was missing.

The county centroid was used to determine the ecoregions under the following circumstances:

- The latitude and longitude coordinates were missing, but the state/county information was available.
- The latitude and longitude coordinates fell outside the county/state listed in the station table. The county information was assumed to be correct; therefore, the county centroid was used.

If the latitude and longitude coordinates fell outside the continental US county coverage file (i.e., the point fell in the ocean or Mexico/Canada), the nearest ecoregion was assigned to the station.

## **3.0 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS REPORTS**

Aggregate nutrient ecoregion tables were created by extracting all observations for a specific aggregate nutrient ecoregion from the nutrient criteria database. Then, the data were reduced to create tables containing only the yearly median values. To create these tables, the median value for each waterbody was calculated using all observations for each waterbody by Level III ecoregion, year, and season. Tables of decade median values were created from the yearly median tables by calculating the median for each waterbody by Level III ecoregion by decade and season.



The Data Source and the Remark Code reports were created using all observations (all reported values). All the other reports were created from either the yearly median tables or the decade median tables. In other words, the descriptive statistics and regressions were run using the median values for each waterbody and not the individual reported values.

Statistical analyses were performed under the assumption that this data set is a random sample. If this assumption cannot be verified, the observations may or may not be valid. Values below the 1st and 99th percentile were removed from the Legacy STORET database prior to the creation of the national database. Also, data were treated according the Legacy STORET remark codes in Appendix A.

The following contains a list of each report and the purpose for creating each report:

- Data Source Created to provide a count of the amount of data and to identify the source(s).
- Remark Codes Created to provide a description of the data.
- Median of Each Waterbody by Year This was an intermediate step performed to obtain a median value for each lake to be used in the yearly descriptive statistics reports and the regression models.
- Median of Each Waterbody by Decade This was an intermediate step performed to obtain a median value for each lake to be used in the decade descriptive statistics.
- Descriptive Statistics Created to provide EPA with the desired statistics for setting criteria levels.
- Regression Models Created to examine the relationships between biological and nutrient variables.

Note: Separate reports were created for each season.

### **3.1 Data Source Reports**

Data source reports were presented in the following formats:

- The number and percentage of data from each data source were summarized in tables for each aggregate nutrient ecoregion by season and waterbody type.
- The number and percentage of data from each data source were summarized in tables for each Level III ecoregion by season and waterbody type.

The 'Frequency' represents the number of data values from a specific data source for each parameter by data source. The 'Row Pct' represents the percentage of data from a specific data source for each parameter.

### **3.2 Remark Code Reports**

Remark code reports were presented in the following formats:

- The number and percentage of data associated with a particular remark code for each parameter were summarized in tables by Level III ecoregion by decade and season.
- The number and percentage of data associated with a particular remark code for each parameter were summarized in tables by Level III ecoregion by year and season.

The 'Frequency' represents the number of data values corresponding to the remark code in the column. The 'Row Pct' represents the percentage of data that was associated with the remark code in that row.

In the database, remark codes that were entered by the states were mapped to Legacy STORET remark codes. Prior to the analysis, the data were treated according to these remark codes. For example, if the remark code was 'K,' then the reported value was divided by two. Appendix A contains a complete list of Legacy STORET remark codes.

Note: For the reports, a remark code of 'Z' indicates that no remark codes were recorded. It does not correspond to Legacy STORET code 'Z.'

### **3.3 Median of Each Waterbody**

To reduce the data and to ensure heavily sampled waterbodies or years were not over represented in the analysis, median value tables (described above) were created. The yearly median tables and decade median tables were delivered to the EPA in electronic format as csv (comma separated value or comma delimited) files.

### **3.4 Descriptive Statistic Reports**

The number of waterbodies, median, mean, minimum, maximum, 5th, 25th, 75th, 95th percentiles, standard deviation, standard error, and coefficient of variation were calculated. The tables (described above) containing the decade median values for each waterbody for each parameter were used to create descriptive statistics reports for:

- Level III ecoregions by decade and season
- Aggregate nutrient ecoregions by decade and season

In addition, the tables containing the yearly median values for each waterbody for each parameter were used to create descriptive statistics reports for:

- Level III ecoregions by year and season

### 3.5 Regression Models

Simple linear regressions using the least squares method were performed to examine the relationships between biological and nutrient variables in lakes and reservoirs, and rivers and streams. Regressions were performed using the yearly median tables. Chlorophyll(s) in micrograms per liter (ug/L), secchi in meters (m), dissolved oxygen in milligrams per liter (mg/L), turbidity, and pH were the biological variables in these models. When there was little or no data for chlorophyll, then pH or dissolved oxygen was substituted for chlorophyll. Secchi data were used in the lake and reservoir models, and turbidity data were used in the river and stream models. The nutrient variables in these models include: total phosphorus in ug/L, total nitrogen in mg/L, total kjeldahl nitrogen in mg/L, and nitrate and nitrite in mg/L. Regressions were also run for total nitrogen and total phosphorus for ecoregions where both these variables were measured.

Note: At the time of creation of this document only regressions for aggregate nutrient ecoregion 7 for lakes and reservoirs were delivered to the EPA. Regressions for the remaining aggregate nutrient ecoregions will be delivered in August 2000.

### 4.0 TIME PERIOD

Data collected from January 1990 to December 1999 were used in the statistical analysis reports. To capture seasonal differences, the data were classified as follows:

- Aggregate nutrient ecoregions: 6, 7, and 8
  - Spring: April to May
  - Summer: June to August
  - Fall: September to October
  - Winter: November to March
  
- Aggregate nutrient ecoregions: 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13
  - Spring: March to May
  - Summer: June to August
  - Fall: September to November
  - Winter: December to February

## 5.0 DATA SOURCES AND PARAMETERS FOR THE AGGREGATE NUTRIENT ECOREGIONS

This section provides information for the nutrient aggregate ecoregions that were analyzed by waterbody type. Each section lists the data sources for the aggregate nutrient ecoregion including: 1) the data sources, 2) the parameters included in the analysis, and 3) the Level III ecoregions within the aggregate nutrient ecoregions.

Note: For analysis purposes, the following parameters were combined to form Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP):

Phosphorus, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)  
 Phosphorus, Dissolved (DP)  
 Phosphorus, Dissolved Reactive (DRP)  
 Orthophosphate, dissolved, mg/L as P  
 Orthophosphate (OPO4\_PO4)

### 5.1 Lakes and Reservoirs

#### 5.1.1 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 2

##### Data Sources:

Legacy STORET  
 EPA Region 10

##### Parameter:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
Phosphorus, Total Reactive	(ug/L)
SECCHI	(m)
pH	

Level III ecoregions:

1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 11, 15, 16, 17, 19, 21, 23, 41, 77, 78

**5.1.2 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 6**Data Sources:

Legacy STORET

Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
SECCHI	(m)

Level III ecoregions:

46, 47, 48, 54, 55, 57

**5.1.3 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 7**Data Sources:

LCMPD  
 Legacy STORET  
 NYCDEP  
 EPA Region 1

Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)

Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Orthophosphate, Total as P	(ug/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
SECCHI	(m)

Level III ecoregions:

51, 52, 53, 56, 60, 61, 83

**5.1.4 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 8**Data Sources:

LCMPD  
 Legacy STORET  
 NYCDEP  
 NYCDEC  
 EPA Region 1  
 EPA Region 3

Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll B	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll C	(ug/L)
Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
SECCHI	(m)

Level III ecoregions:

49, 50, 58, 62, 82

### 5.1.5 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 9

#### Data Sources:

Auburn University  
Legacy STORET  
EPA Region 4

#### Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Pheophytin	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
SECCHI	(m)

#### Level III ecoregions:

29, 33, 35, 37, 40, 45, 64, 65, 71, 72, 74

### 5.1.6 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 11

#### Data Sources:

Auburn University  
Legacy STORET  
NYSDEC  
EPA Region 3  
EPA Region 4

#### Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Pheophytin	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric, Uncorrected	(ug/L)

Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
SECCHI	(m)

Level III ecoregions:

36, 38, 39, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70

**5.1.7 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 12**Data Sources:

Legacy STORET

Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
SECCHI	(m)

Level III ecoregions:

75

**5.1.8 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 13**Data Sources:

Legacy STORET



Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
SECCHI	(m)

Level III ecoregions:

76

**5.2 Rivers and Streams****5.2.1 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 2**Data Sources:

Legacy STORET  
 NASQAN  
 NAWQA  
 EPA Region 10

Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, chromatographic- fluorometric	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll B, Phytoplankton, chromatographic- fluorometric	(ug/L)
Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Orthophosphate, Total as P	(ug/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP) Reactive	(ug/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
Turbidity	(FTU)

Turbidity (JCU)  
 Turbidity (NTU)

Level III ecoregions:

1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 15, 16, 17, 19, 21, 23, 41, 77, 78

**5.2.2 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 3**

Data Sources:

Legacy STORET  
 NASQAN  
 NAWQA  
 EPA Region 10

Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected (ug/L)  
 Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid (ug/L)  
 Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, chromatographic- fluorometric (ug/L)  
 Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected (ug/L)  
 Chlorophyll B, Phytoplankton, chromatographic- fluorometric (ug/L)  
 Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP) (ug/L)  
 Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (mg/L)  
 Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO<sub>2</sub>+NO<sub>3</sub>) (mg/L)  
 Nitrogen, Total (TN) (mg/L)  
 Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN) (mg/L)  
 Phosphorus, Total (TP) (ug/L)  
 Turbidity (FTU)  
 Turbidity (JCU)  
 Turbidity (NTU)

Level III ecoregions:

6, 10, 12, 13, 14, 18, 20, 22, 24, 79, 80, 81

### 5.2.3 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 6

#### Data Sources:

Legacy STORET  
 NASQAN  
 NAWQA  
 EPA Region 5  
 EPA Region 7

#### Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, chromatographic- fluorometric	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll B, Phytoplankton, chromatographic- fluorometric	(ug/L)
Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Organic, Phosphorus	(ug/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
Phosphorus, Orthophosphate, Total as P	(ug/L)
Turbidity	(FTU)
Turbidity	(JCU)
Turbidity	(NTU)

#### Level III ecoregions:

46, 47, 48, 54, 55, 57

### 5.2.4 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 7

#### Data Sources:

LCMPD  
 Legacy STORET  
 NASQAN  
 NAWQA  
 NYCDEP

Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, chromatographic- fluorometric	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll B, Phytoplankton, chromatographic- fluorometric	(ug/L)
Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Organic, Phosphorus	(ug/L)
Phosphorus, Orthophosphate, Total as P	(ug/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
Turbidity	(FTU)
Turbidity	(JCU)
Turbidity	(NTU)

Level III ecoregions:

51, 52, 53, 56, 60, 61, 83

**5.2.5 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 9**Data Sources:

Auburn University  
 Legacy STORET  
 NASQAN  
 NAWQA  
 EPA Region 3  
 EPA Region 5  
 EPA Region 7

Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, chromatographic- fluorometric	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric, Uncorrected	(ug/L)

Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll B, Phytoplankton, chromatographic- fluorometric	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll B, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric	(ug/L)
Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Organic, Phosphorus	(ug/L)
Phosphorus, Orthophosphate, Total as P	(ug/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
Turbidity	(FTU)
Turbidity	(JCU)
Turbidity	(NTU)

Level III ecoregions:

29, 33, 35, 37, 40, 45, 64, 65, 71, 72, 74

### 5.2.6 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 11

Data Sources:

Auburn University  
 Legacy STORET  
 NASQAN  
 NAWQA  
 EPA Region 3  
 EPA Region 5  
 EPA Region 7

Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, chromatographic- fluorometric	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll B, Phytoplankton, chromatographic- fluorometric	(ug/L)
Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Organic, Phosphorus	(ug/L)

Phosphorus, Orthophosphate, Total as P	(ug/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
Turbidity	(FTU)
Turbidity	(JCU)
Turbidity	(NTU)

Level III ecoregions:

36, 38, 39, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70

**5.2.7 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 12**Data Sources:

Legacy STORET  
 NASQAN  
 NAWQA

Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll B, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric	(ug/L)
Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Orthophosphate, Total as P	(ug/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
Turbidity	(FTU)
Turbidity	(NTU)

Level III ecoregions:

75

## 5.2.8 Aggregate Nutrient Ecoregion 14

### Data Sources:

Legacy STORET  
 NASQAN  
 NAWQA  
 NYCDEP  
 EPA Region 1  
 EPA Region 3

### Parameters:

Chlorophyll A, Fluorometric, Corrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric Acid	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Phytoplankton, Spectrophotometric, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Chlorophyll A, Trichromatic, Uncorrected	(ug/L)
Phosphorous, Dissolved Inorganic (DIP)	(ug/L)
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	(mg/L)
Nitrite and Nitrate, (NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Orthophosphate, Total as P	(ug/L)
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (TKN)	(mg/L)
Nitrogen, Total (TN)	(mg/L)
Phosphorus, Total (TP)	(ug/L)
Turbidity	(FTU)
Turbidity	(JCU)
Turbidity	(NTU)

### Level III ecoregions:

59, 63, 84

## **APPENDIX A**

Process Used to QA/QA the Legacy STORET Nutrient Data Set



1. STORET water quality parameters and Station and Sample data items were retrieved from USEPA's mainframe computer. Table 1 lists all retrieved parameters and data items.

<b>TABLE 1: PARAMETERS AND DATA ITEMS RETRIEVED FROM STORET</b>		
<b>Parameters Retrieved (STORET Parameter Code)</b>	<b>Station Data Items Included (STORET Item Name)</b>	<b>Sample Data Items Included (STORET Item Name)</b>
TN - mg/l (600) TKN - mg/l (625) Total Ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> +NH <sub>4</sub> ) - mg/l (610) Total NO <sub>2</sub> +NO <sub>3</sub> - mg/l (630) Total Nitrite - mg/l (615) Total Nitrate - mg/l (620) Organic N - mg/L (605) TP - mg/l (665) Chlor <i>a</i> - ug/L (spectrophotometric method, 32211) Chlor <i>a</i> - ug/L (fluorometric method corrected, 32209) Chlor <i>a</i> - ug/L (trichromatic method corrected, 32210) Secchi Transp. - inches (77) Secchi Transp. - meters (78) +Turbidity JCU's (70) +Turbidity FTU's (76) +Turbidity NTU's field (82078) +Turbidity NTU's lab (82079) +DO - mg/L (300) +Water Temperature (degrees C, 10/degrees F, 11)	Station Type (TYPE) Agency Code (AGENCY) Station No. (STATION) Latitude - std. decimal degrees (LATSTD) Longitude - std. decimal degrees (LONGSTD) Station Location (LOCNAME) County Name (CONAME) State Name (STNAME) Ecoregion Name - Level III (ECONAME) Ecoregion Code -Level III (ECOREG) Station Elevation (ELEV) Hydrologic Unit Code (CATUNIT) RF1 Segment and Mile (RCHMIL) RF1ON/OFF tag (ONOFF)	Sample Date (DATE) Sample Time (TIME) Sample Depth (DEPTH) Composite Sample Code (SAMPMETH)
+ If data record available at a station included data only for this or other such marked parameters, data record was deleted from data set.		

The following set of retrieval rules were applied to the retrieval process:

- Data were retrieved for waterbodies specified only as 'lake', 'stream', 'reservoir', or 'estuary' under "Station Type" parameter. Any stations specified as 'well,' 'spring,' or 'outfall' were eliminated from the retrieved data set.
- Data were retrieved for station types described as 'ambient' (e.g., no pipe or facility discharge data) under the "Station Type" parameter.
- Data were retrieved that were designated as 'water' samples only. This includes 'bottom' and 'vertically integrated' water samples.